# Creative Telescoping 1.1 Introduction

#### Shaoshi Chen, Manuel Kauers, Christoph Koutschan

Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics Austrian Academy of Sciences

> Monday, 27.11.2023 Recent Trends in Computer Algebra Special Week @ Institut Henri Poincaré







Exponential function 2<sup>n</sup>
 (number of {0,1}-vectors of length n)

- Exponential function 2<sup>n</sup>
   (number of {0,1}-vectors of length n)
- Factorial  $n! := 1 \cdot 2 \cdots n$

(number of permutations of n elements)

- Exponential function 2<sup>n</sup>
   (number of {0,1}-vectors of length n)
- Factorial n! := 1 · 2 · · · n = Γ(n + 1) (Gamma function) (number of permutations of n elements)

- Exponential function 2<sup>n</sup> (number of {0,1}-vectors of length n)
- Factorial n! := 1 · 2 · · · n = Γ(n + 1) (Gamma function) (number of permutations of n elements)
- ▶ Pochhammer symbol (a)<sub>n</sub> := a · (a + 1) · · · (a + n 1) (also called "rising factorial", (a)<sub>n</sub> = Γ(a + n)/Γ(a))

- Exponential function 2<sup>n</sup> (number of {0,1}-vectors of length n)
- Factorial n! := 1 · 2 · · · n = Γ(n + 1) (Gamma function) (number of permutations of n elements)
- ▶ Pochhammer symbol (a)<sub>n</sub> := a · (a + 1) · · · (a + n − 1) (also called "rising factorial", (a)<sub>n</sub> = Γ(a + n)/Γ(a))
- ▶ Binomial coefficient <sup>(n)</sup><sub>k</sub> := <sup>n!</sup>/<sub>k!·(n-k)!</sub> = <sup>(n-k+1)k</sup>/<sub>(1)k</sub>
   (# ways to choose k elements from a set of n elements)

- Exponential function 2<sup>n</sup> (number of {0,1}-vectors of length n)
- Factorial n! := 1 · 2 · · · n = Γ(n + 1) (Gamma function) (number of permutations of n elements)
- ▶ Pochhammer symbol (a)<sub>n</sub> := a · (a + 1) · · · (a + n − 1) (also called "rising factorial", (a)<sub>n</sub> = Γ(a + n)/Γ(a))
- ▶ Binomial coefficient <sup>n</sup><sub>k</sub> := <sup>n!</sup>/<sub>k!·(n-k)!</sub> = <sup>(n-k+1)k</sup>/<sub>(1)k</sub>
   (# ways to choose k elements from a set of n elements)
- ► Catalan numbers C<sub>n</sub> := 1/(n) (number of binary trees with n internal nodes)

- Exponential function 2<sup>n</sup> (number of {0,1}-vectors of length n)
- Factorial n! := 1 · 2 · · · n = Γ(n + 1) (Gamma function) (number of permutations of n elements)
- ▶ Pochhammer symbol (a)<sub>n</sub> := a · (a + 1) · · · (a + n − 1) (also called "rising factorial", (a)<sub>n</sub> = Γ(a + n)/Γ(a))
- ▶ Binomial coefficient <sup>(n</sup><sub>k</sub>) := n!/(k!·(n-k)!) = ((n-k+1))/((1))/(k)/(1))/(k)
   (# ways to choose k elements from a set of n elements)
- ► Catalan numbers C<sub>n</sub> := 1/(n)/(n) (number of binary trees with n internal nodes)
- Stirling numbers (of the second kind) S(n, k) (number of partitions of an n-set into k non-empty subsets)

- Exponential function 2<sup>n</sup> (number of {0,1}-vectors of length n)
- Factorial n! := 1 · 2 · · · n = Γ(n + 1) (Gamma function) (number of permutations of n elements)
- ▶ Pochhammer symbol (a)<sub>n</sub> := a · (a + 1) · · · (a + n − 1) (also called "rising factorial", (a)<sub>n</sub> = Γ(a + n)/Γ(a))
- ▶ Binomial coefficient <sup>n</sup><sub>k</sub> := <sup>n!</sup>/<sub>k! ⋅ (n-k)!</sub> = <sup>(n-k+1)k</sup>/<sub>(1)k</sub>
   (# ways to choose k elements from a set of n elements)
- ► Catalan numbers C<sub>n</sub> := 1/(n) (number of binary trees with n internal nodes)
- Stirling numbers (of the second kind) S(n, k) (number of partitions of an n-set into k non-empty subsets)

Many of them are **hypergeometric**: 
$$rac{f(n+1)}{f(n)} \in \mathbb{Q}(n)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} = 2^{n}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} = 2^{n}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_k \cdot C_{n-k-1} = C_n$$





Many such hypergeometric summation identities can nowadays be proven in an automatic and mechanical way:

```
Invent. math. 108: 575-633 (1992)
```

#### Inventiones mathematicae © Springer-Verlag 1992

# An algorithmic proof theory for hypergeometric (ordinary and "q") multisum/integral identities

#### Herbert S. Wilf \* and Doron Zeilberger \*\*

Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA Department of Mathematics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA



#### > arise in mathematical analysis and in real-world phenomena

#### > arise in mathematical analysis and in real-world phenomena



Airy function

#### arise in mathematical analysis and in real-world phenomena



Airy function





Bessel function

#### arise in mathematical analysis and in real-world phenomena



Airy function



Bessel function





Coulomb function

- > arise in mathematical analysis and in real-world phenomena
- are solutions to certain differential equations



Airy function



Bessel function





Coulomb function

- arise in mathematical analysis and in real-world phenomena
- are solutions to certain differential equations
- ▶ cannot be expressed in terms of the usual elementary functions  $(\sqrt{-}, \exp, \log, \sin, \cos, \dots)$



Airy function



Bessel function





Coulomb function

#### Special Function Identities

4. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = 2^{\nu-1} a^{-\nu} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right) [K_{\nu}(a) \mathbf{L}_{\nu-1}(a) + \mathbf{L}_{\nu}(a) K_{\nu-1}(a)]$$
Special Function Identities [Re  $\nu > -\frac{1}{2}$ ]
5. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} J_{\nu}(ax) dx = a^{-1} J_{\nu+1}(a)$$
 [Re  $\nu > -1$ ]
6. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} Y_{\nu}(ax) dx = a^{-1} Y_{\nu+1}(a) + 2^{\nu+1} a^{-\nu-2} \pi^{-1} \Gamma(\nu+1)$$
[Re  $\nu > -1$ ]
7. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} I_{\nu}(ax) dx = a^{-1} I_{\nu+1}(a)$$
 [Re  $\nu > -1$ ]
8. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = 2^{\nu} a^{-\nu-2} \Gamma(\nu+1) - a^{-1} K_{\nu+1}(a)$$
[Re  $\nu > -1$ ]

9. 
$$\int_0^1 x^{1-\nu} J_{\nu}(ax) \, dx = \frac{a^{\nu-2}}{2^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\nu)} - a^{-1} J_{\nu-1}(a)$$

10. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{1-\nu} Y_{\nu}(ax) dx = \frac{a^{\nu-2} \cot(\nu\pi)}{2^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\nu)} - a^{-1} Y_{\nu-1}(a) \qquad [\operatorname{Re} \nu < 1]$$

11. 
$$\int_0^1 x^{1-\nu} I_{\nu}(ax) \, dx = a^{-1} I_{\nu-1}(a) - \frac{a^{\nu-2}}{2^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\nu)}$$

12. 
$$\int_0^1 x^{1-\nu} K_{\nu}(ax) \, dx = 2^{-\nu} a^{\nu-2} \Gamma(1-\nu) - a^{-1} K_{\nu-1}(a)$$

 $[\operatorname{Re}\nu<1]$ 

$$12.7 \qquad \int_{-\pi^{\mu}}^{1} \frac{1}{L(ax)} dx = \frac{2^{\mu} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+\mu+1}{2}\right)}{L(ax)} + \frac{a^{-\mu} \Gamma(\nu+\nu-1)}{L(ax)} \frac{1}{L(ax)} \frac{5}{L(ax)} \frac{25}{L(ax)} \frac{5}{L(ax)} \frac{1}{L(ax)} \frac{1}{L(ax)}$$

4. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = 2^{\nu-1} a^{-\nu} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right) [K_{\nu}(a) \mathbf{L}_{\nu-1}(a) + \mathbf{L}_{\nu}(a) K_{\nu-1}(a)]$$
Special Function Identities [Re  $\nu > -\frac{1}{2}$ ]  
5. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} J_{\nu}(ax) dx = a^{-1} J_{\nu+1}(a)$$
 [Re  $\nu > -1$ ]  
6. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} Y_{\nu}(ax) dx = a^{-1} Y_{\nu+1}(a) + 2^{\nu+1} a^{-\nu-2} \pi^{-1} \Gamma(\nu + 1)$$
 [Re  $\nu > -1$ ]  
7. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} I_{\nu}(ax) dx = a^{-1} I_{\nu+1}(a)$$
 [Re  $\nu > -1$ ]  
8. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = 2^{\nu} a^{-\nu-2} \Gamma(\nu + 1) - a^{-1} K_{\nu+1}(a)$$
 [Re  $\nu > -1$ ]  
8. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = \frac{a^{\nu-2}}{2^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\nu)} - a^{-1} J_{\nu-1}(a)$$
 [Re  $\nu < 1$ ]  
7. 
$$I^{-\nu} J_{\nu}(ax) dx = \frac{a^{\nu-2} \cot(\nu\pi)}{2^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\nu)} - a^{-1} K_{\nu-1}(a)$$
 [Re  $\nu < 1$ ]  
8. 
$$I^{-\nu} I_{\nu}(ax) dx = a^{-1} I_{\nu-1}(a) - \frac{a^{\nu-2}}{2^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\nu)}$$
 [Re  $\nu < 1$ ]  
8. 
$$I^{-\nu} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = 2^{-\nu} a^{\nu-2} \Gamma(1 - \nu) - a^{-1} K_{\nu-1}(a)$$
 [Re  $\nu < 1$ ]

127 
$$\int_{-\pi^{\mu}}^{1} \mu L(z) dz = \frac{2^{\mu} \Gamma(\frac{\nu+\mu+1}{2})}{12} + z^{-\mu} f(u+u-1) L(z) G(z) = \frac{5}{2} \int_{-\pi^{\mu}}^{2} \frac{2^{\mu} \Gamma(\frac{\nu+\mu+1}{2})}{12} dz$$

4. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = 2^{\nu-1} a^{-\nu} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right) [K_{\nu}(a) \mathbf{L}_{\nu-1}(a) + \mathbf{L}_{\nu}(a) K_{\nu-1}(a)]$$
Special Function Identities  $[\operatorname{Re}\nu > -\frac{1}{2}]$ 
5. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} J_{\nu}(ax) dx = a^{-1} J_{\nu+1}(a) \qquad [\operatorname{Re}\nu > -1]$$
6. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} Y_{\nu}(ax) dx = a^{-1} Y_{\nu+1}(a) + 2^{\nu+1} a^{-\nu-2} \pi^{-1} \Gamma(\nu+1)$$
 $[\operatorname{Re}\nu > -1]$ 
7. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} I_{\nu}(ax) dx = a^{-1} I_{\nu+1}(a) \qquad [\operatorname{Re}\nu > -1]$$
8. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = 2^{\nu} a^{-\nu-2} \Gamma(\nu+1) - a^{-1} K_{\nu+1}(a)$$

$$[\operatorname{Re}\nu > -1]$$
9. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = 2^{\nu} a^{-\nu-2} \Gamma(\nu+1) - a^{-1} K_{\nu+1}(a)$$
 $[\operatorname{Re}\nu > -1]$ 
1. 
$$(a) - \frac{a^{\nu-2}}{2^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\nu)}$$

$$(a) - \frac{a^{\nu-2}}{2^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\nu)}$$

 $[{\rm Re}\,\nu<1]$ 

$$127 \int_{-\pi^{\mu}}^{1} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) dx = \frac{2^{\mu} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+\mu+1}{2}\right)}{12} + e^{-\mu} \left( (\nu+\nu-1) L(z) \right) G_{\mu\nu} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) G_{\mu\nu} \left$$

4. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = 2^{\nu-1}a^{-\nu}\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right) [K_{\nu}(a) \mathbf{L}_{\nu-1}(a) + \mathbf{L}_{\nu}(a) K_{\nu-1}(a) K_{\nu-$$

$$12.7 \int_{-1}^{1} \mu_{L}(x) dx = 2^{\mu} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+\mu+1}{2}\right) = -\mu\left((x+\mu+1)L(x)\right) dx = \frac{5}{2} \int_{-1}^{25} \frac{5}{2} \int_{-1}^{25} \frac{5}{2} dx = \frac{5}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{5}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{5}{2} dx = \frac{5}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{5}{2} \int_{-1}^{1}$$

4. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = 2^{\nu-1} a^{-\nu} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2}) [K_{\nu}(a) \mathbf{L}_{\nu-1}(a) + \mathbf{L}_{\nu}(a) K_{\nu-1}(a)]$$
Special Function Identities
$$[\operatorname{Re} \nu > -\frac{1}{2}]$$
5. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} J_{\nu}(ax) dx = a^{-1} J_{\nu+1}(a) \qquad [\operatorname{Re} \nu > -1]$$
6. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} Y_{\nu}(ax) dx = a^{-1} Y_{\nu+1}(a) + 2^{\nu+1} a^{-\nu-2} \pi^{-1} \Gamma(\nu + 1)$$

$$[\operatorname{Re} \nu > -1]$$
7. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} I_{\nu}(ax) dx = a^{-1} I_{\nu+1}(a) \qquad [\operatorname{Re} \nu > -1]$$
8. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = 2^{\nu} a^{-\nu-2} \Gamma(\nu + 1) - a^{-1} K_{\nu+1}(a)$$

$$[\operatorname{Re} \nu > -1]$$
8. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = 2^{\nu} a^{-\nu-2} \Gamma(\nu + 1) - a^{-1} K_{\nu+1}(a)$$

$$[\operatorname{Re} \nu > -1]$$
8. 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\nu+1} K_{\nu}(ax) dx = 2^{\nu} a^{-\nu-2} \Gamma(\nu + 1) - a^{-1} K_{\nu+1}(a)$$

$$[\operatorname{Re} \nu > -1]$$

$$12.7 \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{1} \mu L(\alpha m) dm = \frac{2^{\mu} \Gamma(\frac{\nu+\mu+1}{2})}{1 + \alpha^{-\mu} \Gamma(\nu+\nu-1) L(\alpha) S} \qquad (5) \qquad \frac{5}{L} \int_{-\infty}^{2} \frac{5}{L} dm$$

Genden and Breach Science Publishers  $[{\rm Re}\,\nu<1]$ 

### The Holonomic Systems Approach

Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 32 (1990) 321-368 North-Holland 321

# A holonomic systems approach to special functions identities \*

Doron ZEILBERGER Department of Mathematics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA

Received 14 November 1989

Abstract: We observe that many special functions are solutions of so-called holonomic systems. Bernstein's deep those of holonomic systems is then invoked to show that any identity involving sums and integrals of products of these special functions can be verified in a finite number of steps. This is partially substantiated by an algorithm that proves terminating hypergenentics esteries identities, and that is given both in English and in MAPLE.



- seminal paper by Doron Zeilberger in 1990
- created a large research area
- many applications in mathematics and elsewhere

### The Holonomic Systems Approach

Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 32 (1990) 321-368 North-Holland 321

# A holonomic systems approach to special functions identities \*

Doron ZEILBERGER Department of Mathematics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA

Received 14 November 1989

Abstract: We observe that many special functions are solutions of so-called holonomic systems. Bernstein's deep those of holonomic systems is then invoked to show that any identity involving sums and integrals of products of these special functions can be verified in a finite number of steps. This is partially substantiated by an algorithm that proves terminating hypergenentics esteries identities, and that is given both in English and in MAPLE.



- seminal paper by Doron Zeilberger in 1990
- created a large research area
- many applications in mathematics and elsewhere

(Successor of the classical Handbook of Mathematical Functions by Abramowitz and Stegun)

(Successor of the classical Handbook of Mathematical Functions by Abramowitz and Stegun)

On May 18, 2005, Frank Olver, the mathematics editor of DLMF, sent the following email to Peter Paule:

"The writing of DLMF Chapter BS Leonard Maximon and myself is now largely complete [...] However, a problem has arisen in connection with about a dozen formulas from Chapter 10 of Abramowitz and Stegun for which we have not yet tracked down proofs, and the author of this chapter, Henry Antosiewiecz, died about a year ago. Since it is the editorial policy for the DLMF not to state formulas without indications of proofs, I am hoping that you will be willing to step into the breach and supply verifications by computer algebra methods [...] I will fax you the formulas later today."

$$\frac{1}{z}\sin\sqrt{z^2+2zt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} y_{n-1}(z)$$

$$\frac{1}{z}\cos\sqrt{z^2-2zt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} j_{n-1}(z)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} j_{\nu}(z)\right]_{\nu=0} = \frac{1}{z} (\operatorname{Ci}(2z)\sin z - \operatorname{Si}(2z)\cos z)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} j_{\nu}(z)\right]_{\nu=-1} = \frac{1}{z} (\operatorname{Ci}(2z)\cos z + \operatorname{Si}(2z)\sin z)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} y_{\nu}(z)\right]_{\nu=0} = \frac{1}{z} (\operatorname{Ci}(2z)\cos z + [\operatorname{Si}(2z)-\pi]\sin z)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} y_{\nu}(z)\right]_{\nu=-1} = -\frac{1}{z} (\operatorname{Ci}(2z)\sin z - [\operatorname{Si}(2z)-\pi]\cos z)$$

$$J_0(z\sin\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (4n+1) \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}n!^2} j_{2n}(z) P_{2n}(\cos\theta)$$
$$j_n(2z) = -n! z^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{2n-2k+1}{k!(2n-k+1)!} j_{n-k}(z) y_{n-k}(z)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} j_n^2(z) = \frac{\operatorname{Si}(2z)}{2z}$$

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{z}\sinh\sqrt{z^2 - 2\mathrm{i}zt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\mathrm{i}t)^n}{n!} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\pi/z} I_{-n+\frac{1}{2}}(z) \\ &\frac{1}{z}\cosh\sqrt{z^2 + 2\mathrm{i}zt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathrm{i}t)^n}{n!} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\pi/z} I_{n-\frac{1}{2}}(z) \end{split}$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}I_{\nu}(z)\right]_{\nu=1/2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}}(\operatorname{Ei}(2z)\mathrm{e}^{-z} + \operatorname{E}_{1}(2z)\mathrm{e}^{z})$$
$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}I_{\nu}(z)\right]_{\nu=-1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}}(\operatorname{Ei}(2z)\mathrm{e}^{-z} - \operatorname{E}_{1}(2z)\mathrm{e}^{z})$$
$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}K_{\nu}(z)\right]_{\nu=\pm1/2} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}}\operatorname{E}_{1}(2z)\mathrm{e}^{z}$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}I_{\nu}(z)\right]_{\nu=1/2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}}(\operatorname{Ei}(2z)\mathrm{e}^{-z} + \operatorname{E}_{1}(2z)\mathrm{e}^{z})$$
$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}I_{\nu}(z)\right]_{\nu=-1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}}(\operatorname{Ei}(2z)\mathrm{e}^{-z} - \operatorname{E}_{1}(2z)\mathrm{e}^{z})$$
$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}K_{\nu}(z)\right]_{\nu=\pm1/2} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}}\operatorname{E}_{1}(2z)\mathrm{e}^{z}$$

Within two weeks, all identities were proven with computer algebra, by the members of the algorithmic combinatorics group of RISC.

(joint work with Stefan Gerhold, Manuel Kauers, Peter Paule, Carsten Schneider, and Burkhard Zimmermann)

### Proof of the Irrationality of $\zeta(3)$

In Roger Apéry's proof (1978) a crucial step is to show that

$$b_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2$$

satisfies the second-order recurrence:

$$(n+2)^{3}b_{n+2} = (2n+3)(17n^{2}+51n+39)b_{n+1} - (n+1)^{3}b_{n}.$$

## Proof of the Irrationality of $\zeta(3)$

In Roger Apéry's proof (1978) a crucial step is to show that

$$b_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2$$

satisfies the second-order recurrence:

$$(n+2)^{3}b_{n+2} = (2n+3)(17n^{2}+51n+39)b_{n+1} - (n+1)^{3}b_{n}.$$

Alf van der Poorten: "Neither Cohen nor I had been able to prove (5) or (5') in the intervening 2 months. After a few days of fruitless effort the specific problem was mentioned to Don Zagier (Bonn), and with irritating speed he showed that indeed the sequence  $(b_n)$  satisfies the recurrence"
## Proof of the Irrationality of $\zeta(3)$

In Roger Apéry's proof (1978) a crucial step is to show that

$$b_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2$$

satisfies the second-order recurrence:

$$(n+2)^{3}b_{n+2} = (2n+3)(17n^{2}+51n+39)b_{n+1} - (n+1)^{3}b_{n}.$$

Alf van der Poorten: "Neither Cohen nor I had been able to prove (5) or (5') in the intervening 2 months. After a few days of fruitless effort the specific problem was mentioned to Don Zagier (Bonn), and with irritating speed he showed that indeed the sequence  $(b_n)$  satisfies the recurrence"

Alternative approach by Frits Beukers via the integral

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\left(x(1-x)y(1-y)z(1-z)\right)^n}{(1-z+xyz)^{n+1}} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}y \,\mathrm{d}z.$$



Simulate the propagation of electromagnetic waves according to  $\frac{dH}{dt} = \operatorname{curl} E, \quad \frac{dE}{dt} = -\operatorname{curl} H \qquad (Maxwell)$ 

where H and E are the magnetic and the electric field respectively.



Simulate the propagation of electromagnetic waves according to  $\frac{dH}{dt} = \operatorname{curl} E, \quad \frac{dE}{dt} = -\operatorname{curl} H \qquad \text{(Maxwell)}$ 

where H and E are the magnetic and the electric field respectively.

Define basis functions (2D case)

$$\varphi_{i,j}(x,y) := (1-x)^i P_j^{(2i+1,0)}(2x-1) P_i\left(\frac{2y}{1-x}-1\right)$$

using Legendre and Jacobi polynomials.

Simulate the propagation of electromagnetic waves according to  $\frac{dH}{dt} = \operatorname{curl} E, \quad \frac{dE}{dt} = -\operatorname{curl} H \quad \text{(Maxwell)}$ 

where H and E are the magnetic and the electric field respectively.

Define basis functions (2D case)

$$\varphi_{i,j}(x,y) := (1-x)^i P_j^{(2i+1,0)}(2x-1) P_i(\frac{2y}{1-x}-1)$$

using Legendre and Jacobi polynomials.

**Problem:** Represent the partial derivatives of  $\varphi_{i,j}(x,y)$  in the basis (i.e., as linear combinations of shifts of the  $\varphi_{i,j}(x,y)$  itself).

Simulate the propagation of electromagnetic waves according to  $\frac{dH}{dt} = \operatorname{curl} E, \quad \frac{dE}{dt} = -\operatorname{curl} H \quad (Maxwell)$ 

where H and E are the magnetic and the electric field respectively.

Define basis functions (2D case)

$$\varphi_{i,j}(x,y) := (1-x)^i P_j^{(2i+1,0)}(2x-1) P_i \left(\frac{2y}{1-x}-1\right)$$

using Legendre and Jacobi polynomials.

**Problem:** Represent the partial derivatives of  $\varphi_{i,j}(x,y)$  in the basis (i.e., as linear combinations of shifts of the  $\varphi_{i,j}(x,y)$  itself).

Our formulas helped to considerably speed up the simulations.

Simulate the propagation of electromagnetic waves according to  $\frac{dH}{dt} = \operatorname{curl} E, \quad \frac{dE}{dt} = -\operatorname{curl} H \quad \text{(Maxwell)}$ 

where H and E are the magnetic and the electric field respectively.

Define basis functions (2D case)

$$\varphi_{i,j}(x,y) := (1-x)^i P_j^{(2i+1,0)}(2x-1) P_i(\frac{2y}{1-x}-1)$$

using Legendre and Jacobi polynomials.

**Problem:** Represent the partial derivatives of  $\varphi_{i,j}(x,y)$  in the basis (i.e., as linear combinations of shifts of the  $\varphi_{i,j}(x,y)$  itself). Our formulas helped to considerably speed up the simulations. (joint work with Joachim Schöberl and Peter Paule)

$$\det_{1 \le i,j \le n} \frac{1}{i+j-1} = \frac{1}{(2n-1)!} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(k!)^2}{(k+1)_{n-1}}$$

$$\det_{1 \le i,j \le n} \frac{1}{i+j-1} = \frac{1}{(2n-1)!} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(k!)^2}{(k+1)_{n-1}}$$
$$\det_{0 \le i,j \le n-1} \sum_k \binom{i}{k} \binom{j}{k} 2^k = 2^{n(n-1)/2}$$

$$\det_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant n} \frac{1}{i+j-1} = \frac{1}{(2n-1)!} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(k!)^2}{(k+1)_{n-1}}$$
$$\det_{0\leqslant i,j\leqslant n-1} \sum_k \binom{i}{k} \binom{j}{k} 2^k = 2^{n(n-1)/2}$$

$$\det_{0 \le i, j \le n-1} \binom{2i+2a}{j+b} = 2^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(2k+2a)!k!}{(k+b)!(2k+2a-b)!}$$

$$\det_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n} \frac{1}{i+j-1} = \frac{1}{(2n-1)!} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(k!)^2}{(k+1)_{n-1}}$$
$$\det_{0 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n-1} \sum_k \binom{i}{k} \binom{j}{k} 2^k = 2^{n(n-1)/2}$$

$$\det_{0 \le i,j \le n-1} \binom{2i+2a}{j+b} = 2^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(2k+2a)!k!}{(k+b)!(2k+2a-b)!}$$

$$\det_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 2m+1} \left[ \binom{\mu+i+j+2r}{j+2r-2} - \delta_{i,j+2r} \right]$$
  
=  $\frac{(-1)^{m-r+1}(\mu+3)(m+r+1)_{m-r}}{2^{2m-2r+1}(\frac{\mu}{2}+r+\frac{3}{2})_{m-r+1}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{2m} \frac{(\mu+i+3)_{2r}}{(i)_{2r}}$   
 $\times \prod_{i=1}^{m-r} \frac{(\mu+2i+6r+3)_i^2(\frac{\mu}{2}+2i+3r+2)_{i-1}^2}{(i)_i^2(\frac{\mu}{2}+i+3r+2)_{i-1}^2}.$ 

13 / 25

#### **Rhombus Tilings**



#### **Rhombus Tilings**



(joint work with Hao Du, Elaine Wong, Thotsaporn Thanatipanonda)

#### **Rhombus Tilings**



(joint work with Hao Du, Elaine Wong, Thotsaporn Thanatipanonda)



**q-TSPP Conjecture** (David P. Robbins, George Andrews, 1983) The orbit-counting generating function for totally symmetric plane partitions is given by

$$\sum_{\pi \in T(n)} q^{|\pi/S_3|} = \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le k \le n} \frac{1 - q^{i+j+k-1}}{1 - q^{i+j+k-2}}$$

**q-TSPP Conjecture** (David P. Robbins, George Andrews, 1983) The orbit-counting generating function for totally symmetric plane partitions is given by

$$\sum_{\pi \in T(n)} q^{|\pi/S_3|} = \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le k \le n} \frac{1 - q^{i+j+k-1}}{1 - q^{i+j+k-2}}$$

Soichi Okada's reformulation as a determinant

**q-TSPP Conjecture** (David P. Robbins, George Andrews, 1983) The orbit-counting generating function for totally symmetric plane partitions is given by

$$\sum_{\pi \in T(n)} q^{|\pi/S_3|} = \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le k \le n} \frac{1 - q^{i+j+k-1}}{1 - q^{i+j+k-2}}$$

Soichi Okada's reformulation as a determinant

 Zeilberger's holonomic ansatz: translate the determinant problem into q-holonomic summation identities

**q-TSPP Conjecture** (David P. Robbins, George Andrews, 1983) The orbit-counting generating function for totally symmetric plane partitions is given by

$$\sum_{\pi \in T(n)} q^{|\pi/S_3|} = \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le k \le n} \frac{1 - q^{i+j+k-1}}{1 - q^{i+j+k-2}}$$

Soichi Okada's reformulation as a determinant

- Zeilberger's holonomic ansatz: translate the determinant problem into q-holonomic summation identities
- joint work with Manuel Kauers and Doron Zeilberger

# Holonomic Description of the Cofactor Function

$$\bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+4} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+3} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+1} \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j+3} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+3} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+3,j} \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+2} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+3} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+3,j+1} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+3,j} \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+4,j} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+3} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+1} \\ \end{vmatrix}$$

# Holonomic Description of the Cofactor Function

$$\bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+4} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+3} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+1} \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j+3} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+3} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+3,j} \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+2} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+3} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+3,j+1} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+3,j} \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+4,j} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+3} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+1} \\ \end{vmatrix}$$

## Holonomic Description of the Cofactor Function

$$\bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+4} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+3} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+1} \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j+3} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+3} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+1,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+3,j} \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+2,j+2} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+3,j+1} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+1} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+2} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+4} + \frac{1}{q_{ij}^{0} q_{ij}^{15} - 53 q_{ij}^{18} q_{ij}^{17} q_{ij}^{15} - 524 q_{ij}^{19} q_{ij}} + \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j+3} + \\ \bigcirc \cdot c_{n+4,j} = \bigcirc \cdot c_{n,j} + \frac{1}{2} q_{ij}^{15} q_{ij}^{15} q_{ij}^{15} q_{ij}^{15} + 34 q_{ij}^{16} q_{ij}^{16} q_{ij}^{16} + 34 q_{ij}^{16} q_{$$

$$\frac{16\pi^2}{3} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{27}{64}\right)^k \frac{\left(k!\right)^3 \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)_k \left(\frac{7}{6}\right)_k}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_k^5} \left(74k^2 + 101k + 35\right)$$

$$\frac{16\pi^2}{3} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{27}{64}\right)^k \frac{\left(k!\right)^3 \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)_k \left(\frac{7}{6}\right)_k}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_k^5} \left(74k^2 + 101k + 35\right)$$
$$\frac{48}{\pi^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{27}{64}\right)^k \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3 \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_k}{\left(k!\right)^5} \left(74k^2 + 27k + 3\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{16\pi^2}{3} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{27}{64}\right)^k \frac{\left(k!\right)^3 \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)_k \left(\frac{7}{6}\right)_k}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_k^5} \left(74k^2 + 101k + 35\right) \\ \frac{48}{\pi^2} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{27}{64}\right)^k \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3 \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_k}{\left(k!\right)^5} \left(74k^2 + 27k + 3\right) \\ \pi^2 &= \frac{128}{156279375} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{27}\right)^k \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^2 \left(k!\right)^2 \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_k^2 \left(2\right)_k \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)_k}{\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)_k \left(\frac{11}{6}\right)_k \left(\frac{13}{6}\right)_k \left(\frac{9}{4}\right)_k^2 \left(\frac{11}{4}\right)_k^2 \left(\frac{13}{4}\right)_k} \\ &\times (1605632k^8 + 17633280k^7 + 83231232k^6 + \\ &\quad 220523520k^5 + 358672608k^4 + 366633840k^3 + \\ &\quad 229955938k^2 + 80885565k + 12211200) \end{aligned}$$

 Complicated multi-sums that arise in the evaluation of Feynman integrals

 Complicated multi-sums that arise in the evaluation of Feynman integrals



- Complicated multi-sums that arise in the evaluation of Feynman integrals
- Work by Carsten Schneider and collaborators



- Complicated multi-sums that arise in the evaluation of Feynman integrals
- Work by Carsten Schneider and collaborators

#### DESY 19–096, DO-TH 19/09, SAGEX-2019-13 Three loop heavy quark form factors and their asymptotic behavior

J. Ablinger<sup>1</sup>, J. Blümlein<sup>2</sup>, P. Marquard<sup>2</sup>, N. Rana<sup>2,3</sup> and C. Schneider<sup>1</sup>

Abstract A summary of the calculation of the color–planar and complete light quark contributions to the massive three–loop form factors is presented. Here a novel calculation method for the Feynman integrals is used, solving general uni– variate first order factorizable systems of differential equations. We also present predictions for the asymptotic structure of these form factors.

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{w^{-1-\varepsilon/2}(1-z)^{\varepsilon/2} z^{-\varepsilon/2}}{(z+w-wz)^{1-\varepsilon}} \left(1-w^{n+1}-(1-w)^{n+1}\right) \,\mathrm{d}w \,\mathrm{d}z$$



Creative Telescoping in Algebraic Statistics MIMO Wireless Communication System:



Creative Telescoping in Algebraic Statistics MIMO Wireless Communication System:



SNR probability density function  $p(t; x_1, x_2)$ :

$$p(t; x_1, x_2) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} M(s; x_1, x_2) \, \mathrm{d}s$$
  
=  $e^{-x_2} \sum_{n_1=0}^\infty \sum_{n_2=0}^\infty \frac{(N)_{n_1}}{(n_2 + N_R)_{n_1}} \frac{x_1^{n_1}}{n_1!} \frac{x_2^{n_2}}{n_2!}$   
 $\times \sum_{m_1=0}^{n_1} \binom{n_1}{m_1} \frac{(-1)^{m_1} t^{N+n_1-m_1-1} e^{-t/\Gamma_1}}{(N+n_1-m_1-1)! \Gamma_1^{N+n_1-m_1}}.$ 

#### Difficulties in the Evaluation



Accuracy problems with standard floating-point arithmetic.

#### Difficulties in the Evaluation



- Accuracy problems with standard floating-point arithmetic.
- Use arbitrary-precision in a computer algebra system. But this makes computations even slower.

# Holonomic Gradient Method (HGM)

 $\longrightarrow$  Methods for evaluating and optimizing certain expressions. (Nakayama, Nishiyama, Noro, Ohara, Sei, Takayama, Takemura)

**Input:**  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_s)$  holonomic,  $(a_1, \ldots, a_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ **Output:** an approximation of  $f(a_1, \ldots, a_s)$ 

- 1. Determine a holonomic system (set of differential equations) to which f is a solution, and let r be its holonomic rank.
- 2. Determine a suitable "basis" of derivatives  $\mathbf{f} = (f^{(\mathbf{m}_1)}, \dots, f^{(\mathbf{m}_r)})$  of  $f(x_1, \dots, x_s)$ .
- 3. Convert the holonomic system into a set of Pfaffian systems, i.e.,  $\frac{d}{dx_i}\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{A}_i\mathbf{f}$  for each  $x_i$ .
- 4. Compute  $f^{(\mathbf{m}_1)}, \ldots, f^{(\mathbf{m}_r)}$  at a suitably chosen point  $(b_1, \ldots, b_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ , for which this is easy to achieve.
- 5. Use your favourite numerical integration procedure (e.g., Euler, Runge-Kutta) to obtain  $f(a_1, \ldots, a_s)$ .

# Holonomic Gradient Method (HGM)

 $\longrightarrow$  Methods for evaluating and optimizing certain expressions. (Nakayama, Nishiyama, Noro, Ohara, Sei, Takayama, Takemura)

**Input:**  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_s)$  holonomic,  $(a_1, \ldots, a_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ **Output:** an approximation of  $f(a_1, \ldots, a_s)$ 

- 1. Determine a holonomic system (set of differential equations) to which f is a solution, and let r be its holonomic rank.
- 2. Determine a suitable "basis" of derivatives  $\mathbf{f} = (f^{(\mathbf{m}_1)}, \dots, f^{(\mathbf{m}_r)})$  of  $f(x_1, \dots, x_s)$ .
- 3. Convert the holonomic system into a set of Pfaffian systems, i.e.,  $\frac{d}{dx_i}\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{A}_i\mathbf{f}$  for each  $x_i$ .
- 4. Compute  $f^{(\mathbf{m}_1)}, \ldots, f^{(\mathbf{m}_r)}$  at a suitably chosen point  $(b_1, \ldots, b_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ , for which this is easy to achieve.
- 5. Use your favourite numerical integration procedure (e.g., Euler, Runge-Kutta) to obtain  $f(a_1, \ldots, a_s)$ .


study the colored Jones function, a knot invariant

- study the colored Jones function, a knot invariant
- it is a q-holonomic sequence of Laurent polynomials



- study the colored Jones function, a knot invariant
- it is a q-holonomic sequence of Laurent polynomials
- compute q-recurrence by symbolic summation or by guessing

- study the colored Jones function, a knot invariant
- it is a q-holonomic sequence of Laurent polynomials
- compute q-recurrence by symbolic summation or by guessing
- joint work with Stavros Garoufalidis

- study the colored Jones function, a knot invariant
- it is a q-holonomic sequence of Laurent polynomials
- compute q-recurrence by symbolic summation or by guessing
- joint work with Stavros Garoufalidis

**Example:** Colored Jones function of double twist knots  $K_{p,p'}$ :

$$J_{K_{p,p'},n}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k c_{p,k}(q) c_{p',k}(q) q^{-kn - \frac{k(k+3)}{2}} (q^{n-1};q^{-1})_k (q^{n+1};q)_k$$

where the sequence  $c_{p,n}(q)$  is defined by

$$c_{p,n}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k+n} q^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n^2}{2} + kp + k^2 p} \frac{(1-q^{2k+1})(q;q)_n}{(q;q)_{n-k}(q;q)_{n+k+1}}$$

 Hypergeometric expressions for generating functions of walks with small steps in the quarter plane (Alin Bostan, Frédéric Chyzak, Mark van Hoeij, Manuel Kauers, Lucien Pech)

- Hypergeometric expressions for generating functions of walks with small steps in the quarter plane (Alin Bostan, Frédéric Chyzak, Mark van Hoeij, Manuel Kauers, Lucien Pech)
- ► Uniqueness of the solution to Canham's problem which predicts the shape of biomembranes: show that the reduced volume Iso(z) of any stereographic projection of the Clifford torus to ℝ<sup>3</sup> is bijective (Alin Bostan, Sergey Yurkevich)

- Hypergeometric expressions for generating functions of walks with small steps in the quarter plane (Alin Bostan, Frédéric Chyzak, Mark van Hoeij, Manuel Kauers, Lucien Pech)
- ► Uniqueness of the solution to Canham's problem which predicts the shape of biomembranes: show that the reduced volume Iso(z) of any stereographic projection of the Clifford torus to ℝ<sup>3</sup> is bijective (Alin Bostan, Sergey Yurkevich)
- Computing efficiently the *n*-dimensional volume of a compact semi-algebraic set, i.e., the solution set of multivariate polynomial inequalities, up to a prescribed precision 2<sup>-p</sup> (Pierre Lairez, Marc Mezzarobba, Mohab Safey El Din)

 Accurate, reliable and efficient method to compute a certified orbital collision probability between two spherical space objects involved in a short-term encounter under Gaussian-distributed uncertainty (Mioara Joldes, Bruno Salvy, et al.)

- Accurate, reliable and efficient method to compute a certified orbital collision probability between two spherical space objects involved in a short-term encounter under Gaussian-distributed uncertainty (Mioara Joldes, Bruno Salvy, et al.)
- Study of integrals and diagonals related to some topics in theoretical physics such as the Ising model or the lattice Green's function (Jean-Marie Maillard, Alin Bostan, Youssef Abdelaziz, Salah Boukraa, et al.)

- Accurate, reliable and efficient method to compute a certified orbital collision probability between two spherical space objects involved in a short-term encounter under Gaussian-distributed uncertainty (Mioara Joldes, Bruno Salvy, et al.)
- Study of integrals and diagonals related to some topics in theoretical physics such as the Ising model or the lattice Green's function (Jean-Marie Maillard, Alin Bostan, Youssef Abdelaziz, Salah Boukraa, et al.)
- Irrationality measures of mathematical constants such as elliptic *L*-values (Wadim Zudilin)

by Shaoshi Manuel Christoph Chen Kauers Koutschar
--

by	Shaoshi Chen	Manuel Kauers	Christoph Koutschan
Mon	introduction, motivation, overview	theory of rational function integration	programming of rat. function integration

by	Shaoshi	Manuel	Christoph
	Chen	Kauers	Koutschan
Mon	introduction, motivation, overview	theory of rational function integration	programming of rat. function integration
Tue	classical hypergeo-	Sister Celine's	programming of Sis.
	metric summation	method	Celine's method

by	Shaoshi	Manuel	Christoph
	Chen	Kauers	Koutschan
Mon	introduction, motivation, overview	theory of rational function integration	programming of rat. function integration
Tue	classical hypergeo-	Sister Celine's	programming of Sis.
	metric summation	method	Celine's method
Wed	creative telescoping	Gosper's	Zeilberger's
	(differential case)	algorithm	algorithm

by	Shaoshi	Manuel	Christoph
	Chen	Kauers	Koutschan
Mon	introduction, motivation, overview	theory of rational function integration	programming of rat. function integration
Tue	classical hypergeo-	Sister Celine's	programming of Sis.
	metric summation	method	Celine's method
Wed	creative telescoping	Gosper's	Zeilberger's
	(differential case)	algorithm	algorithm
Thu	D-finite functions	D-finite functions	advanced closure
	(univariate case)	(multivariate case)	properties

by	Shaoshi	Manuel	Christoph
	Chen	Kauers	Koutschan
Mon	introduction, motivation, overview	theory of rational function integration	programming of rat. function integration
Tue	classical hypergeo-	Sister Celine's	programming of Sis.
	metric summation	method	Celine's method
Wed	creative telescoping	Gosper's	Zeilberger's
	(differential case)	algorithm	algorithm
Thu	D-finite functions	D-finite functions	advanced closure
	(univariate case)	(multivariate case)	properties
Fri	creative telescoping	Example session:	remarks on ongoing
	for D-finite functions	HolonomicFunctions	research topics