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Gessel orbit is in $\mathbb{Q}(x, y)^{2}$
For small steps, the orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{Q}(x, y)^{2}$ and when finite, it is a cycle.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (u, v) \sim^{x}\left(u, v^{\prime}\right) \text { iff }\left(u, v^{\prime}\right)=(u, \Psi(u, v)) \\
& (u, v) \sim^{x}\left(u, v^{\prime}\right) \text { iff }\left(u, v^{\prime}\right)=(\Phi(u, v), v)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\Phi, \Psi$ two birational involutions introduced by Bousquet-Mélou and Mishna.
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A sample of finite orbits

## Orbit-types

Orbit of $\mathcal{G}_{3}^{\lambda, \mu}$

$z$ is algebraic of degree 2 over $\mathbb{Q}(x, y)$ !
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The group of the walk $G$ is defined as the subgroup of field automorphisms of $k(\mathcal{O})$ generated by $G_{x}$ and $G_{y}$.
$G$ acts faithfully and transitively on the orbit $\mathcal{O}$
via a finite set of generators $\iota_{1}^{x}, \ldots, \iota_{k}^{x}, \iota_{1}^{y}, \ldots, \iota_{l}^{y}$. (even when $G$ is infinite)
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Here $\operatorname{Gal}(k(\mathcal{O} \mid k(x, y))=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.
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- $G$ is finite
- There exists some non-constant invariants $F(X) \in \mathbb{Q}(X, t) \backslash \mathbb{Q}(t)$ and $G(Y) \in \mathbb{Q}(Y, t) \backslash \mathbb{Q}(t)$ such that

$$
F(X)-G(Y)=K(X, Y, t) H(X, Y, t)
$$

with H -regular.
Invariants for $\mathcal{G}_{3}^{\lambda, \mu}$
$\left(\frac{\left(-\lambda^{2} \mu X^{3}-\mu X^{4}-X^{6}+\mu^{2} X^{2}+\mu^{3}\right) t^{2}-X^{2} \lambda\left(X^{2}-\mu\right) t+X^{3}}{t^{2} X\left(X^{2}+\mu\right)^{2}}, \frac{-\mu t Y^{4}+\lambda t Y+Y^{3}+t}{Y^{2} t}\right)$
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The evaluation isomorphism
Recall that $K\left(u, v, \frac{1}{S(x, y)}\right)=0$ for any $(u, v) \in \mathcal{O}$.
The evaluation map ev is an isomorphism $\left(k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{1}{S(x, y)}\right)\right)$
ev: Regular fractions $/(K(X, Y, t)) \longrightarrow k(x, y)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(X, Y, t) \longmapsto \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{Q}(X, t), \mathbb{Q}\left(Y, y, \frac{1}{S(x, y)}\right) \\
\text { Invariants }
\end{array} \longrightarrow k(x), k(y) \\
& k(x) \cap k(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

For finite orbit, any non-constant coefficient of

$$
\prod_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{O}}(Z-u) \in k(x) \cap k(y)[Z]
$$

can be lifted as a pair of non-constant invariants $(I(X, t), J(Y, t))$.
Any non-constant pair of invariants is a rational fraction in $(I(X, t), J(Y, t))$.
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There exist some explicit 0-chains $\gamma_{x}, \gamma_{y}, \alpha$ such that

- $N(X, Y, t)$ decouples if and only if $N_{\alpha}=0$
- If $N(X, Y, t)$ decouples then

$$
N(X, Y, t)=F(X, t)+G(Y, t)+K(X, Y, t) H(X, Y, t)
$$

with

- $F(X, t) \in \mathbb{Q}(X, t)$ lift of $N_{\gamma_{x}} \in k(x)$
- $G(Y, t) \in \mathbb{Q}(Y, t)$ lift of $N_{\gamma_{y}} \in k(y)$.



## Graph theoretic formulas

If the graph automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}$ acts transitively on level lines $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ then one can express a decoupling as follows

$$
\gamma_{x}=-\frac{1}{|\mathcal{O}|} \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{j \leq i \\ j \text { odd }}} \frac{\left|\mathcal{X}_{i}\right|}{\left|\mathcal{X}_{j}\right|} X_{j}-\frac{\left|\mathcal{X}_{i}\right|}{\left|\mathcal{X}_{j-1}\right|} X_{j-1}
$$

and

$$
\gamma_{y}=-\frac{1}{|\mathcal{O}|} \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{j \leq i \\ j \text { odd }}} \frac{\left|\mathcal{Y}_{i}\right|}{\left|\mathcal{Y}_{j}\right|} Y_{j}-\frac{\left|\mathcal{Y}_{i}\right|}{\left|\mathcal{Y}_{j-1}\right|} Y_{j-1}
$$
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- Invariant Lemma $P_{3}$ is constant $P_{3}=(C(t), C(t))$.
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- Bound for the finite order: 12

Large steps

- The genus of $E$ is greater than 1 .
- When the group of the walk is infinite, $G$ is not a subgroup of automorphisms of a Riemann surface.
- When the group of the walk $G$ is finite, $G$ is a subgroup of automorphisms of a Riemann surface, finite cover of $E$.
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## Conclusion

- Find algebraic classification of the finite orbit-types
- Automatize Bousquet-Mélou's strategy for algebraicity proofs
- Find a good notion of "weak invariants" for large steps walks.


## Thank you for your attention!

