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## (Binary) Floating Point (FP) Arithmetic

Given

$$
\begin{cases}\text { a precision } & p \geqslant 1, \\ \text { a set of exponents } & E_{\min }, \cdots, E_{\max } .\end{cases}
$$

A finite FP number $x$ is represented by 2 integers:

- integer significand $M, 2^{p-1} \leqslant|M| \leqslant 2^{p}-1$,
- exponent $E, E_{\text {min }} \leqslant E \leqslant E_{\text {max }}$
such that

$$
x=\frac{M}{2^{p-1}} \times 2^{E} .
$$

## IEEE Precisions

IEEE 754 standard (1984 then 2008).
See http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/IEEE_floating_point

|  | precision $p$ | min. exponent <br> $E_{\min }$ | maximal exponent <br> $E_{\max }$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| binary32 (single) | 24 | -126 | 127 |
| binary64 (double) | 53 | -1022 | 1023 |
| binary128 (quadruple) | 113 | -16382 | 16383 |

We have $x=\frac{M}{2^{p-1}} \times 2^{E}$ with $2^{p-1} \leqslant|M| \leqslant 2^{p}-1$
and $E_{\text {min }} \leqslant E \leqslant E_{\text {max }}$.

## Rounding modes

In the IEEE 754 standard, the user defines an active rounding mode.
In this talk, we use:

- round to nearest (default). If $x \in \mathbb{R}, \mathrm{RN}(x)$ : the floating-point number closest to $x$. In case of a tie, value whose integral significand is even.

Breakpoint: a point where the rounding function changes.

## Rounding modes

In the IEEE 754 standard, the user defines an active rounding mode.
In this talk, we use:

- round to nearest (default). If $x \in \mathbb{R}, \mathrm{RN}(x)$ : the floating-point number closest to $x$. In case of a tie, value whose integral significand is even.

Breakpoint: a point where the rounding function changes.
Here, breakpoint $=$ the middle of two consecutive FP numbers.

## Correct rounding

A correctly-rounded operation whose entries are FP numbers must return what we would get by infinitely precise operation followed by rounding.

## Correct rounding

A correctly-rounded operation whose entries are FP numbers must return what we would get by infinitely precise operation followed by rounding.

IEEE-754 (1985): Correct rounding for,,$+- \times, \div, \sqrt{ }$ and some conversions.

IEEE-754 (2008): suggests correct rounding for some elementary functions ( $\sqrt[n]{ }, \sin$, cos, arcsin, arccos, tan, arctan, exp, log, sinh, cosh...).
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Given $\varepsilon>0$, the computed value $\widetilde{f(x)}$ satisfies $|f(x)-\widetilde{f(x)}|<\varepsilon$.
Potential issues:

- What if $f(x)$ is a breakpoint?
- What about the number of subdivisions?
- $\varepsilon$ should be uniform! And as large as possible!
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We want to find $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as small as possible, s.t. for all FP $x$ :

- either there exists $\ell \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket$ s.t. $f(x)=(2 \ell+1) / 2^{p}$,
- or

$$
\text { for all } k \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket,\left|f(x)-\frac{2 k+1}{2^{p}}\right| \geqslant 2^{-m} .
$$

## The Table Maker's Dilemma: Diophantine Problems

Assume, w.l.o.g., that $x$ and $f(x) \in[1,2)$.
Q. (TMD) We want to determine $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as small as possible, s.t. for all $j \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket$,

- either there exists $\ell \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket$ s.t. $f\left(\frac{j}{2^{p-1}}\right)=\frac{2 \ell+1}{2^{p}}$,
- or

$$
\text { for all } 2^{p-1} \leqslant k \leqslant 2^{p}-1,\left|f\left(\frac{j}{2^{p-1}}\right)-\frac{2 k+1}{2^{p}}\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2^{m}} \text {. }
$$

## The Table Maker's Dilemma: an Example

Consider the function $2^{x}$ and the binary64 FP number (base $2, p=53$ )

$$
x=\frac{8520761231538509}{2^{62}}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{52+x} & =4509371038706515.4999999999999999994026 \cdots \text { (decimal) } \\
& =\underbrace{1 \cdots}_{53 \text { bits }} \cdot \underbrace{1 \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots 10 \cdots \text { (binary) }}_{60 \text { consecutive } 1^{\prime} s} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Table Maker's Dilemma: an Example

Consider the function $2^{x}$ and the binary64 FP number (base $2, p=53$ )

$$
x=\frac{8520761231538509}{2^{62}}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{52+x} & =4509371038706515.4999999999999999994026 \cdots \text { (decimal) } \\
& =\underbrace{1 \cdots}_{53 \text { bits }} \cdot \underbrace{1 \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots 10 \cdots \text { (binary) }}_{60 \text { consecutive } 1^{\prime} s} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hardest-to-round (HR) case for function $2^{x}$ and binary64 FP numbers.
Lefèvre et al.: the value of $m$ is $113(\sim 2 p, p=53)$ here.
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We have

$$
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Hardest-to-round (HR) case for function $2^{x}$ and binary64 FP numbers. Lefèvre et al.: the value of $m$ is $113(\sim 2 p, p=53)$ here.

Function $f: \sqrt[n]{ }$, sin, cos, arcsin, arccos, tan, arctan, exp, log, sinh, cosh...
Heuristically, $m \sim 2 p$.

## The Table Maker's Dilemma: Diophantine Problems

Assume, w.l.o.g., that $x$ and $f(x) \in[1,2)$.
Q. (TMD) We want to determine $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as small as possible, s.t. for all $j \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket$,
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## The Table Maker's Dilemma: First Challenge

A breakpoint is a point where the rounding function changes. In this talk, it is the middle of two consecutive FP numbers.

First challenge:

- Determine the set $B P_{f}$ of all the FP numbers $x \in[1,2)$ such that $f(x)$ is a breakpoint.
In other words, determine all $j, \ell \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket$ s.t.
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What about the Euler Gamma function? For $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0$,

$$
\Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

Very little is known: $\Gamma(1 / 2), \Gamma(1 / 3), \Gamma(1 / 4), \Gamma(1 / 6), \Gamma(2 / 3), \Gamma(3 / 4), \Gamma(5 / 6)$ are transcendental and there are some partial irrationality results.
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Let $g \in \mathcal{C}([a, b])$,

$$
\|g\|_{\infty,[a, b]}:=\max _{x \in[a, b]}|g(x)| .
$$

## Our Approach: Polynomial Interpolation and Lattice Basis Reduction
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Actually, the lattice reduction step makes it possible to refine the choice of the basis.

## Basis in Use

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, if $X=2^{p-1} x$ and $Y=2^{p} f(x)$ the elements of the basis that we use are:

$$
\begin{array}{cccccl}
1, & & & & \\
X, & Y, & & & \\
X^{2}, & X Y, & Y^{2}, & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\
X_{d-1}^{d-1}, & X^{d-2} Y, & X^{d-3} Y^{2}, & \cdots & Y^{d-1}, & \\
X^{d}, & X^{d-1} Y, & X^{d-2} Y^{2}, & \cdots & X Y^{d-1}, & Y^{d},
\end{array}
$$

i.e., the basis of use is $\left(\left(2^{p-1} x\right)^{k}\left(2^{p} f(x)\right)^{\ell}\right) \substack{0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant d \\ 0 \leqslant k \leqslant d-\ell}$.

Dimension $N=(d+1)(d+2) / 2$.

## Ensuring the Smallness of a Function: Interpolation at Chebyshev Nodes

## Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ are the points $\mu_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right), k=0, \ldots, n$.

## Ensuring the Smallness of a Function: Interpolation at Chebyshev Nodes

## Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ are the points $\mu_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right), k=0, \ldots, n$.

Let $p_{n} \in \mathbb{R}_{n}[X]$ that interpolates $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}([-1,1])$ at the $\left(\mu_{k}\right)_{k=0, . ., n}$.

## Ensuring the Smallness of a Function: Interpolation at Chebyshev Nodes

## Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ are the points $\mu_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right), k=0, \ldots, n$.

Let $p_{n} \in \mathbb{R}_{n}[X]$ that interpolates $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}([-1,1])$ at the $\left(\mu_{k}\right)_{k=0, . ., n}$.
The polynomial $p_{n}$ is a quasi-optimal uniform approximation to $\varphi$ :

$$
\left\|\varphi-p_{n}\right\|_{\infty,[-1,1]} \leqslant 2\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \log (n+1)+1\right) \min _{Q \in \mathbb{R}_{n}[x]}\|\varphi-Q\|_{\infty,[-1,1]}
$$

## Ensuring the Smallness of a Function: Interpolation at Chebyshev Nodes

## Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ are the points $\mu_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right), k=0, \ldots, n$.

Let $p_{n} \in \mathbb{R}_{n}[X]$ that interpolates $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}([-1,1])$ at the $\left(\mu_{k}\right)_{k=0, . ., n}$.

## Ensuring the Smallness of a Function: Interpolation at Chebyshev Nodes

## Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ are the points $\mu_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right), k=0, \ldots, n$.

Let $p_{n} \in \mathbb{R}_{n}[X]$ that interpolates $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}([-1,1])$ at the $\left(\mu_{k}\right)_{k=0, . ., n}$.
The polynomial $p_{n}$ is a quasi-optimal uniform approximation to $\varphi$.

$$
\underbrace{\|\varphi\|_{\infty,[-1,1]}}_{\text {small }} \leqslant\left\|p_{n}\right\|_{\infty,[-1,1]}+\left\|\varphi-p_{n}\right\|_{\infty,[-1,1]}
$$

## Ensuring the Smallness of a Function: Interpolation at Chebyshev Nodes

## Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ are the points $\mu_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right), k=0, \ldots, n$.

Let $p_{n} \in \mathbb{R}_{n}[X]$ that interpolates $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}([-1,1])$ at the $\left(\mu_{k}\right)_{k=0, . ., n}$.
The polynomial $p_{n}$ is a quasi-optimal uniform approximation to $\varphi$.

$$
\underbrace{\|\varphi\|_{\infty,[-1,1]}}_{\text {small }} \leqslant \underbrace{\left\|p_{n}\right\|_{\infty,[-1,1]}}_{\text {small }}+\underbrace{\left\|\varphi-p_{n}\right\|_{\infty,[-1,1]}}_{\text {small }}
$$

## Bounding the Interpolation Polynomial at Chebyshev Nodes

## Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ are the points $\mu_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right), k=0, \ldots, n$.

Let $P \in \mathbb{R}_{n}[X]$, we have

$$
\max _{x \in[-1,1]}|P(x)| \leqslant\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \log (n+1)+1\right) \max _{k=0, \ldots, n}\left|P\left(\mu_{k}\right)\right| .
$$

## Bounding the Interpolation Polynomial at Chebyshev Nodes

## Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ are the points $\mu_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right), k=0, \ldots, n$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}([-1,1])$, let $p_{n} \in \mathbb{R}_{n}[X]$ that interpolates $\varphi$ at the $\left(\mu_{k}\right)_{k=0, . ., n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|p_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \log (n+1)+1\right. & ) \max _{k=0, \ldots, n}\left|p_{n}\left(\mu_{k}\right)\right| \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \log (n+1)+1\right) \max _{k=0, \ldots, n}\left|\varphi\left(\mu_{k}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bounding the Remainder - Bernstein Ellipse

$$
\text { Let } \rho>1 \text {, let } \mathcal{E}_{\rho}:=\left\{\frac{\rho e^{i \theta}+\rho^{-1} e^{-i \theta}}{2}, \theta \in[0,2 \pi]\right\} \text {. }
$$

## Bounding the Remainder - Bernstein Ellipse

Let $\rho>1$, let $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}:=\left\{\frac{\rho e^{i \theta}+\rho^{-1} e^{-i \theta}}{2}, \theta \in[0,2 \pi]\right\}$.


Bernstein ellipses for $\rho=1.05$,

## Bounding the Remainder - Bernstein Ellipse

Let $\rho>1$, let $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}:=\left\{\frac{\rho e^{i \theta}+\rho^{-1} e^{-i \theta}}{2}, \theta \in[0,2 \pi]\right\}$.


Bernstein ellipses for $\rho=1.05,1.25$,

## Bounding the Remainder - Bernstein Ellipse

$$
\text { Let } \rho>1 \text {, let } \mathcal{E}_{\rho}:=\left\{\frac{\rho e^{i \theta}+\rho^{-1} e^{-i \theta}}{2}, \theta \in[0,2 \pi]\right\} \text {. }
$$



Bernstein ellipses for $\rho=1.05,1.25,1.45$,

## Bounding the Remainder - Bernstein Ellipse

Let $\rho>1$, let $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}:=\left\{\frac{\rho e^{i \theta}+\rho^{-1} e^{-i \theta}}{2}, \theta \in[0,2 \pi]\right\}$.


Bernstein ellipses for $\rho=1.05,1.25,1.45,1.65$,

## Bounding the Remainder - Bernstein Ellipse

Let $\rho>1$, let $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}:=\left\{\frac{\rho e^{i \theta}+\rho^{-1} e^{-i \theta}}{2}, \theta \in[0,2 \pi]\right\}$.


Bernstein ellipses for $\rho=1.05,1.25,1.45,1.65,1.85$.

## Bounding the Remainder

## Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ are the points $\mu_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right), k=0, \ldots, n$.

## Bounding the Remainder

## Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ are the points $\mu_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right), k=0, \ldots, n$.

Let $\rho>1$, let $\varphi$ be a function analytic in a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathcal{E}_{\rho}}$. Let $p_{n} \in \mathbb{R}_{n}[X]$ that interpolates $\varphi$ at the $\left(\mu_{k}\right)_{k=0, . ., n}$, we have

$$
\left\|\varphi-p_{n}\right\|_{\infty,[-1,1]} \leqslant \frac{4 M_{\rho}(\varphi)}{\rho^{n}(\rho-1)}
$$

where $M_{\rho}(\varphi)=\max _{z \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}}|\varphi(z)|$.

## Interpolation at Chebyshev Nodes and Uniform Approximation

## Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ are the points $\mu_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right), k=0, \ldots, n$.

## Interpolation at Chebyshev Nodes and Uniform Approximation

## Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ are the points $\mu_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right), k=0, \ldots, n$.

Let $\rho>1$, let $\varphi$ be a function analytic in a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathcal{E}_{\rho}}$. Let $p_{n} \in \mathbb{R}_{n}[X]$ that interpolates $\varphi$ at the $\left(\mu_{k}\right)_{k=0, . ., n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left\|p_{n}\right\|_{\infty} & +\left\|\varphi-p_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \log (n+1)+1\right) \max _{k=0, \ldots, n}\left|\varphi\left(\mu_{k}\right)\right|+\frac{4 M_{\rho}(\varphi)}{\rho^{n}(\rho-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{\rho}(\varphi)=\max _{z \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}}|\varphi(z)|$.

## Interpolation at Chebyshev Nodes and Uniform Approximation: The case of $[a, b]$

Let $I=[a, b]$, one defines

- scaled Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ :
$\mu_{k,[a, b]}=\frac{b-a}{2} \cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right)+\frac{a+b}{2}, k=0, \ldots, n$,


## Interpolation at Chebyshev Nodes and Uniform Approximation: The case of $[a, b]$

Let $I=[a, b]$, one defines

- scaled Chebyshev nodes of the first kind of order $n$ :

$$
\mu_{k,[a, b]}=\frac{b-a}{2} \cos \left(\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2(n+1)}\right)+\frac{a+b}{2}, k=0, \ldots, n
$$

- a scaled Bernstein ellipse

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\rho, a, b}=\left\{\frac{b-a}{2} \frac{\rho e^{i \theta}+\rho^{-1} e^{-i \theta}}{2}+\frac{a+b}{2}, \theta \in[0,2 \pi]\right\} .
$$

## Lattice Basis Reduction



## An Approach based on Lattice Basis Reduction

## Definition

Let $L$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}, L$ is a lattice iff there exists a set of vectors $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k} \mathbb{R}$-linearly independent such that

$$
L=\mathbb{Z} \cdot b_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot b_{k}
$$

$\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ is a basis of the lattice $L$.

Examples. $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$, every subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$.

## Example: The Lattice $\mathbb{Z}(2,0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(1,2)$



## Example: The Lattice $\mathbb{Z}(2,0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(1,2)$



## Example: The Lattice $\mathbb{Z}(2,0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(1,2)$



SVP (Shortest Vector Problem)

## Example: The Lattice $\mathbb{Z}(2,0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(1,2)$



SVP (Shortest Vector Problem) is NP-hard.

## Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovász Algorithm

SVP (Shortest Vector Problem) is NP-hard.
Factoring Polynomials with Rational Coefficients, A. K. Lenstra, H. W. Lenstra and L. Lovász, Math. Annalen 261, 515-534, 1982.

The LLL algorithm gives an approximate solution to SVP in polynomial time.

## Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovász Algorithm

## Theorem

Let $L$ a lattice of dimension $k$.
LLL provides a basis $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ made of "pretty" short vectors. We have $\left\|b_{1}\right\| \leqslant 2^{(k-1) / 2} \lambda_{1}(L)$ where $\lambda_{1}(L)$ denotes the norm of a shortest nonzero vector of $L$.
It terminates in at most $O\left(k^{6} \ln ^{3} B\right)$ operations with $B \geqslant\left\|b_{i}\right\|^{2}$ for all $i$.

## Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovász Algorithm

## Theorem

Let $L$ a lattice of dimension $k$.
LLL provides a basis $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ made of "pretty" short vectors. We have $\left\|b_{1}\right\| \leqslant 2^{(k-1) / 2} \lambda_{1}(L)$ where $\lambda_{1}(L)$ denotes the norm of a shortest nonzero vector of $L$.
It terminates in at most $O\left(k^{6} \ln ^{3} B\right)$ operations with $B \geqslant\left\|b_{i}\right\|^{2}$ for all $i$.
Let $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ be an LLL-reduced basis $L$ then

$$
\left\|b_{1}\right\| \leqslant 2^{k / 2}(\operatorname{vol} L)^{1 / k} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|b_{2}\right\| \leqslant 2^{k / 2}(\operatorname{vol} L)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}
$$

## Example: The Lattice $\mathbb{Z}(2,0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(1,2)$



## Example: The Lattice $\mathbb{Z}(2,0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(1,2)$



## Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovász Algorithm

## Theorem

Let $L$ a lattice of dimension $k$.
LLL provides a basis $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ made of "pretty" short vectors. We have $\left\|b_{1}\right\| \leqslant 2^{(k-1) / 2} \lambda_{1}(L)$ where $\lambda_{1}(L)$ denotes the norm of a shortest nonzero vector of $L$.
It terminates in at most $O\left(k^{6} \ln ^{3} B\right)$ operations with $B \geqslant\left\|b_{i}\right\|^{2}$ for all $i$.
Let $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ be an LLL-reduced basis $L$ then

$$
\left\|b_{1}\right\| \leqslant 2^{k / 2}(\operatorname{vol} L)^{1 / k} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|b_{2}\right\| \leqslant 2^{k / 2}(\operatorname{vol} L)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}
$$

## How do we compute $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ ?

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, P_{1}=\sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} X^{u} Y^{v}$ and $P_{2}=\sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \beta_{u, v} X^{u} Y^{v} \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$. We want to have

$$
\left|P_{k}\left(2^{p-1} x, 2^{p} f(x)\right)\right|<1, \quad k=1,2,
$$

for all $x \in I=[a, b]$.

## How do we compute $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ ? The Lattice

Let $d \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ and $N=(d+1)(d+2) / 2$. Let $\left(x_{j}\right)_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant N-1}$ denote Chebyshev nodes for the interval $I=[a, b]$.
We introduce, for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant d, 0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant d-k$,

$$
f_{k, \ell}(x)=\left(2^{p-1} x\right)^{\ell}\left(2^{p} f(x)\right)^{k} \text { and } r_{k, \ell}=\frac{4 M_{\rho}\left(f_{k, \ell}\right)}{\rho^{N-1}(\rho-1)} .
$$

## How do we compute $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ ? The Lattice

Let $d \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ and $N=(d+1)(d+2) / 2$. Let $\left(x_{j}\right)_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant N-1}$ denote
Chebyshev nodes for the interval $I=[a, b]$.
We introduce, for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant d, 0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant d-k$,

$$
f_{k, \ell}(x)=\left(2^{p-1} x\right)^{\ell}\left(2^{p} f(x)\right)^{k} \text { and } r_{k, \ell}=\frac{4 M_{\rho}\left(f_{k, \ell}\right)}{\rho^{N-1}(\rho-1)} .
$$

Our lattice: $\mathcal{L}$ generated by the rows of

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
f_{0,0}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{0,0}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & r_{0,0} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
f_{0,1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{0,1}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & 0 & r_{0,1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& \cdots & & \vdots & \ldots & 0 & r_{d-1,1} & 0 \\
f_{d-1,1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{d-1,1}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & r_{d, 0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## How do we compute $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ ? The Lattice

Let $d \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ and $N=(d+1)(d+2) / 2$. Let $\left(x_{j}\right)_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant N-1}$ denote
Chebyshev nodes for the interval $I=[a, b]$.
We introduce, for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant d, 0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant d-k$,

$$
f_{k, \ell}(x)=\left(2^{p-1} x\right)^{\ell}\left(2^{p} f(x)\right)^{k} \text { and } r_{k, \ell}=\frac{4 M_{\rho}\left(f_{k, \ell}\right)}{\rho^{N-1}(\rho-1)} .
$$

Our lattice: $\mathcal{L}$ generated by the rows of

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{0,0} \\
f_{0,1} \\
\vdots \\
f_{d-1,1} \\
f_{d, 0}
\end{gathered}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
f_{0,0}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{0,0}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & r_{0,0} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
f_{0,1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{0,1}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & 0 & r_{0,1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
f_{d-1,1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{d-1,1}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & \vdots & \cdots & 0 & r_{d-1,1} & 0 \\
f_{d, 0}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{d, 0}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & r_{d, 0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## How do we compute $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ ?

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, P=\sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} X^{u} Y^{v} \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$. The function $P\left(2^{p-1} x, 2^{p} f(x)\right)$ corresponds to the vector

## How do we compute $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ ?

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, P=\sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} X^{u} Y^{v} \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$. The function $P\left(2^{p-1} x, 2^{p} f(x)\right)$ corresponds to the vector

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{0,0} \\
+\alpha_{0,1} \\
\vdots \\
+\alpha_{d-1,1} \\
+\alpha_{d, 0}
\end{gathered}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
f_{0,0}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{0,0}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & r_{0,0} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
f_{0,1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{0,1}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & 0 & r_{0,1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
f_{d-1,1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{d-1,1}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & \vdots & \cdots & 0 & r_{d-1,1} & 0 \\
f_{d, 0}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{d, 0}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & r_{d, 0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## How do we compute $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ ?

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, P=\sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} X^{u} Y^{v} \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$. The function $P\left(2^{p-1} x, 2^{p} f(x)\right)$ corresponds to the vector

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{0,0} \\
+\alpha_{0,1} \\
\vdots \\
+\alpha_{d-1,1} \\
+\alpha_{d, 0}
\end{gathered}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
f_{0,0}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{0,0}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & r_{0,0} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
f_{0,1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{0,1}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & 0 & r_{0,1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
f_{d-1,1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{d-1,1}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & \vdots & \cdots & 0 & r_{d-1,1} & 0 \\
f_{d, 0}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & f_{d, 0}\left(x_{N-1}\right) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & r_{d, 0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\left(\sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} f_{u, v}\left(x_{0}\right), \cdots, \sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} f_{u, v}\left(x_{N-1}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\left.\alpha_{0,0} r_{0,0}, \cdots, \alpha_{d, 0} r_{d, 0}\right)
$$

## How do we compute $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ ?

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, P=\sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} X^{u} Y^{v} \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$. The function $g(x)=P\left(2^{p-1} x, 2^{p} f(x)\right)$ corresponds to the vector

$$
V=\left(\sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} f_{u, v}\left(x_{0}\right), \cdots, \sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} f_{u, v}\left(x_{N-1}\right),\right.
$$

$$
\left.\alpha_{0,0} r_{0,0}, \cdots, \alpha_{d, 0} r_{d, 0}\right)
$$

## How do we compute $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ ?

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, P=\sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} X^{u} Y^{v} \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$. The function $g(x)=P\left(2^{p-1} x, 2^{p} f(x)\right)$ corresponds to the vector

$$
\begin{array}{r}
V=\left(\sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} f_{u, v}\left(x_{0}\right), \cdots, \sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} f_{u, v}\left(x_{N-1}\right)\right. \\
\left.\quad \alpha_{0,0} r_{0,0}, \cdots, \alpha_{d, 0} r_{d, 0}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Let $p_{n}$ the interpolation polynomial of $g$ at the Chebyshev nodes and $r_{n}=\left\|g-p_{n}\right\|_{\infty}$.
If the vector $V$ is small, then
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\left.\quad \alpha_{0,0} r_{0,0}, \cdots, \alpha_{d, 0} r_{d, 0}\right) .
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$$

Let $p_{n}$ the interpolation polynomial of $g$ at the Chebyshev nodes and $r_{n}=\left\|g-p_{n}\right\|_{\infty}$.
If the vector $V$ is small, then

- $p_{n}$ is small,


## How do we compute $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ ?

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, P=\sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} X^{u} Y^{v} \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$. The function $g(x)=P\left(2^{p-1} x, 2^{p} f(x)\right)$ corresponds to the vector

$$
\begin{array}{r}
V=\left(\sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} f_{u, v}\left(x_{0}\right), \cdots, \sum_{0 \leqslant u+v \leqslant d} \alpha_{u, v} f_{u, v}\left(x_{N-1}\right)\right. \\
\\
\left.\alpha_{0,0} r_{0,0}, \cdots, \alpha_{d, 0} r_{d, 0}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Let $p_{n}$ the interpolation polynomial of $g$ at the Chebyshev nodes and $r_{n}=\left\|g-p_{n}\right\|_{\infty}$.
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Its volume $\operatorname{vol}(L)$ : determinant of the matrix.
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For Euler's Gamma, $d=O(p)$ is enough to tackle the whole $[a, b]$ $(\rho=2 /(b-a))$.

If $[a, b]=[1,2]$, less than 40 CPU minutes for $p=53$ and 46 CPU days for $p=113$.

Our experiments were done in Sagemath ${ }^{1}$ and heavily use the Arb ${ }^{2}$ and fpll| ${ }^{3}$ libraries
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A breakpoint is a point where the rounding function changes. In this talk, it is the middle of two consecutive FP numbers.

Two-step challenge:

- Determine the set $B P_{f}$ of all the FP numbers $x$ such that $f(x)$ is a breakpoint;
- Find $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as small as possible, such that for all

$$
j, \ell \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket \text { s.t. } j / 2^{p-1} \notin B P_{f} \text { and }
$$

$$
\left|f\left(\frac{j}{2^{p-1}}\right)-\frac{2 \ell+1}{2^{p}}\right| \geqslant 2^{-m} .
$$

Holy Grail: $m \sim 2 p$. True for $p=53$ (V. Lefèvre et al).

## Our results

Thanks to an extension of the presented ideas, we obtain for instance, for $p=113$, for all $j, \ell \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket$ and
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## Our results

Thanks to an extension of the presented ideas, we obtain for instance, for $p=113$, for all $j, \ell \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket$ and

$$
\left|\exp \left(\frac{j}{2^{p-1}}\right)-\frac{2 \ell+1}{2^{p}}\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2^{12 p}}
$$

in less than 9 CPU days.
Not the end of the story, since $12 p$ should be replaced with $\sim 2 p$.
Still, this work should hopefully help paving the way for correctly rounded elementary functions in IEEE binary128/quadruple precision.

Additional material

## Some insight (Warning: Hand-waving!)...

Assume there exist $x \in[1,2), k \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\ell \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket$ s.t.
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## Assessing the Heuristic: the Example of sin

Here, $f=\sin$ over $[1,2), p=16$.

| $k$ | Actual number <br> of occurrences | Expected number <br> of occurrences |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 16397 | 16384 |
| 2 | 8151 | 8192 |
| 3 | 4191 | 4096 |
| 4 | 2043 | 2048 |
| 5 | 1010 | 1024 |
| 6 | 463 | 512 |
| 7 | 255 | 256 |
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| 12 | 7 | 8 |
| 13 | 6 | 4 |
| 14 | 0 | 2 |
| 15 | 1 | 1 |
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| $k$ | Actual number <br> of occurrences | Expected number <br> of occurrences |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8 | 131 | 128 |
| 9 | 62 | 64 |
| 10 | 35 | 32 |
| 11 | 16 | 16 |
| 12 | 7 | 8 |
| 13 | 6 | 4 |
| 14 | 0 | 2 |
| 15 | 1 | 1 |

Here, the heuristic seems reasonable.
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$$

- Assuming that after the $k^{\text {th }}$ position the " 1 " and " 0 " are equally likely, the "probability" of having $k \geqslant k_{0}$ is $2^{1-k_{0}}$;
- if we consider $2^{p-1}$ input FP numbers, around $2^{p-1} \times 2^{1-k_{0}}=2^{p-k_{0}}$ values for which $k \geqslant k_{0}$;
$\rightarrow$ roughly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
" m_{o p t} \sim 2 p " \tag{Q}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proving the Heuristic

NB, G. Hanrot and O. Robert (2017)
Let $f:[1,2) \mapsto[1,2), f \in \mathcal{C}^{2}$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
Determine the proportion of $j \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket$ s.t. there exists $\ell \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket$ with

$$
\left|f\left(\frac{j}{2^{p-1}}\right)-\frac{2 \ell+1}{2^{p}}\right|<\frac{1}{2^{p+k}} .
$$
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Determine the proportion of $j \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket$ s.t. there exists $\ell \in \llbracket 2^{p-1}, 2^{p}-1 \rrbracket$ with
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Proposition
For $\exp$ over $[1,2)$, if $p \geqslant 24$, the heuristic is valid for $0 \leqslant k<p / 3$.
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