Six decades of libre scientific softwa

Nicolas M. Thiéry

Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire des Sciences du Numérique Université Paris-Saclay

Mathematical Software and High Performance Algebraic Corr June 26th-30th 2023, Lyon, France

Caveats and biases

Nothing but a personal testimony

My world, my biases

- Reborn to Libre Software in 1992
- Jean Thiéry @ ALDIL 1999: quatre décennies de logiciels scientifiques libres
- Computer Science for Mathematics
- Software as a research tool more than a research outco or research object
- GNU/Linux, Python, SageMath, Emacs, Conda, Jupyter, N

Another annecdote



Lesson learned the hard way

Typical code for research: a thin layer of pixie dust on top of a p generic stuff

Lesson learned the hard way: when you fail to I FAIR

- I could not Find my own best friend's code!
 - It needed generalization
- I could not **Access** his code:
 - It was not published
 - I did not have a Maple license
- Anyway Maple and MuPAD were not **Interoperable**
- Thereby, we could not **Reuse** each others code

A shame: by sharing we could have saved ~50% of develop time

Meaning more research 🔬 🧏 (and more juggling 🎉)



Page 11 sur 109

*-Combinat: Sharing Algebraic Combinatorics software since 2000

- Apply induction from two to a community!
- By bringing libre software and best practices to research software

Don't get me started on this ...

A brief historical perspective

1960's: primordial *structured* libre research software

- FORTRAN (Formula Translating System) gains adoption
 portability and simplicity (Interoperability 2, Reuse)
- Punch cards \rightarrow Tapes

1960's: primordial *structured* libre research software

- FORTRAN (Formula Translating System) gains adoption
 portability and simplicity (Interoperability , Reu
- Punch cards \rightarrow Tapes

A pioneer QCPE: Quantum Chemistry Program Exchange

- Mission: index, archive and distribute programs in Quantu Chemistry, and beyond!
- A newsletter advertises new additions (**Find**)
- Ships copies of the program for cost of operation fee (Ac
)
- Builds a community, organize workshops (hackathons!)
- Most programs were effectively libre software: (Reuse freedom to use, scrutinize, modify, and distribute modifica
- Hundreds of programs (typically 1-2 authors, 100 lines)

Urgent task: collect and archive the QCPE software!!

1970's: Early libraries

Practical limitation (Reuse)

- Sharing pattern: distribute programs
- Reuse pattern: copy and adapt \implies **does not scale!!!**

Example: LinPack

- A collection of FORTRAN subroutines for Linear Algebra
- Modularity (Reuse])

Example: BLAS (1979)

- Basic Linear Algebra Subroutines (library → interface)
- Even more modularity (Reuse)

1980's: Scientific computing at the fingertip of researchers

Generalization of personal computers
 A researcher can have a desktop and use it for interactive computation.

Example: Voyons (Jean Thiéry, CEA)

- Integrated interactive environment for statistics, modeling simulations, visualization
- Innovations:
 - **Target** non specialists
 - **Coconstruct** by participating to the research
 - Reuse across diverse research projects: NMR spectrography, agronomy, ...
 - **Open source:** complete control on the algorithms
 - Credit by citation
- Early forms of Agile development and Research Softw
 Engineer

How to scale?

- Requires reaching a critical mass
- Lack of collaboration means \implies collocated team

1980's: Scientific computing at the fingertip of researchers (continued)

- Computers on anyone's desk
- Technology ripe for "user friendly" programming language

 \implies Potential for a **mass of users**! \implies It's worth **investing**

Archetype: MatLab turns to a commercial product (also: Maple, Mathematica,)

- General purpose numerical computing environment
- Wraps numerical libraries (LinPack, ...)
- In a tailored programming language
- \implies brings computing to the masses, e.g. teaching \checkmark
- ⇒ generates revenue to fund a collocated team of developers
- \implies critical mass
- \implies silos between developers and users \checkmark
- \implies silos between environments <

"the hardware is the product" \longrightarrow "the software is the produ

1980's: A new Hope

1980's: A new Hope

Archetype: GAP: Group, Algorithms and Programming (a Singular, CoCoA, Macaulay, ...)

- A community gets together and decides to share
- Developed by users for users
- Dedicated programming language
- Library
- Packages

Libre software is formalized

- A response to closing sources hurting ethics and practic
- Freedom to use, scrutinize, modify and redistribute modifications
- Remember: copyright is about balancing the needs of bo authors and users

1990's: Scientific computing for the masses

- Internet for the masses: web, chat, forums, mailing lists, ...
- Systems gain momentum (Access 4, Reuse 4)
- Much easier to build communities and user groups
- Online archives of user contributions: (Access 4, Reus CPAN, CRAN, CTAN, ... Maple shared library, ...

Late 1990': A growing frustration

Ethical concerns

You can read Sylow's Theorem and its proof in Huppert's book in the library, then you can use Sylow's Theorem for the rest of your life free of charge, but for many computer algebra systems license fees have to be paid regularly ...

With this situation two of the most basic rules of conduct in mathematics are **violated**: In mathematics **information is passed on free of charge** and **everything is laid open for checking**.

🛎 Joachim Neubüser (started GAP in 1986) 🕑 1995

Late 1990': A growing frustration (continued)

Practical concerns

- Silos by system: license, language, community
- Silos by role: developers / users
- Silos by institution and physical location
- \implies Fragments the community and the forces
 - Increasing institutional pressure to valorize research soft as commercial products, as closed
- ⇒ Killed many cool pieces of software ... (e.g. Axiom)

Reuse 🡎 Sustainability 👎

2000's: The return of libre computing

2000's: The return of libre computing

- "User friendly" general purpose programming languages: Python, Perl, ...
- Software Forges (SourceForge, ..., GitHub, GitLab, ...) + mo best practices + physical ubiquity
 - \implies massive collaboration

Question:

- Viable libre software development models for large system
- "by users for users"?
- The Scientific Python stack challenged Matlab
- SageMath challenged Maple and Mathematica
- R challenged S, SAS, ...
- ...

2010's: libre scientific software at scale

- Social networks, cloud infrastructure, and services for the masses
- More best practices
- Open Science gets momentum and recognition by institu
- Multiplication of devices (tablets, "smart" phones, ...)

A massive international collaboration across academia, industry, and more

On digital commons

Supported by infrastructures, best practices, funding, ...

- Massive modularity across systems (Compose, Reuse)
- Software forges (Find, Access) and collaborative tool
 (Community)
- Package management and hosting (conda, guix, pip, npm (Find, Access, Reproduce ____)
- Archival: Software Heritage (Find, Access, Credit, Lega
- Virtual environments (Access 👍)
- Literate Computing (Access, Reproduce)
- Community building: training, workshops, hackathons (Community 4, Environment)

And the Research Software Engineer (RSE) movement!!!

2020's: The next challenges?

- From physical ubiquity to virtual ubiquity (Environment Joy , Community ?)
- Internet anywhere, anytime
- Fluidity: local vs remote, compiled vs interpreted, gradual typing, multi-paradigms programming
- Growing digital Commons of tens of thousands of package compose from

Built by hundreeds of thousands of developers worldwide

• Machine Learning for the masses

Will that scale?

- Complexity (Find , Reuse)
- Potential compatibility nightmare (Sustainability
- Reliability? e.g. nodejs' breakages (Sustainability)
- Silos (Reuse
 Collaboration
- Environmental impact???

Libre software is ubiquitous 💥, but too often under the ho

- What about the applicative layer?
- What about fair and ethical services?
- What about fair and ethical Al models?

In particular for less tech-savvy audiences

2020's: The next challenges?

- From physical ubiquity to virtual ubiquity (Environment Joy , Community ?)
- Internet anywhere, anytime
- Fluidity: local vs remote, compiled vs interpreted, gradual typing, multi-paradigms programming
- Growing digital Commons of tens of thousands of package compose from

Built by hundreeds of thousands of developers worldwide

• Machine Learning for the masses

Will that scale?

- Complexity (Find , Reuse)
- Potential compatibility nightmare (Sustainability
- Reliability? e.g. nodejs' breakages (Sustainability)
- Silos (Reuse
 Collaboration
- Environmental impact???

Libre software is ubiquitous 💥, but too often under the ho

- What about the applicative layer?
- What about fair and ethical services?
- What about fair and ethical Al models?

In particular for less tech-savvy audiences

Question: Impact of machine learning? Copilots, natural language interaction, computations, ...

Take home messages

Open Science and research software

- A decades long joint history; finally recognized by institutions!
- Software raises very specific Open Science challeng (it's not just another type of data) Notably:
 - Software is a social construction
 - Software is a living object (⇒ ecosystems of software)
 - Software is complex, composed
 - ••••

A long track record of Open Science Best Practices for softv

• Findable:

Barriers: complexity: which function XXX of package YYN solves problem ZZZ?

Levers: documentation, introspection, training, social netv Al copilots ...

• Accessible:

Barriers: complexity, institutions, resources ...

Levers: virtual environments, public forges, package mana repositories, archives, training, time, ...

• Interoperable:

Barriers: architecture, languages, systems, institutions, ... Levers: source code, standards (e.g. webassembly), virtua environments, remote procedure calls (bind & adapt), sen commons, ...

• Reusable:

Modularity, quality, **build reproducibility**, **execution reproducibility**, **literate computing**, training, ...

Beyond FAIR: **Sustainable**, ARDC, ... see e.g. Dicosmo's talk a Open Science Days@UGA, 2022

Open Science policies for research software

Open Science policies for research software

- Given appropriate means and training, scientists are in ge sympathetic to Open Science, when not enthusiasts
- Which best practices are relevant depends enormously o piece of software

Support and foster Open Science Best practices for Sof

Don't impose any of them

unless absolutely necessary to counter-balance other higher

If in doubt, ask the Software Charter of the CoSO (Comité pou Science Ouverte)

Research Software Engineers

- Research software development by-users-for-users ca very well
- However support from Research Software Engineers n a huge difference:
 - train the community
 - give advice
 - tackle highly technical tasks
 - maintain
 - •

 \implies A continuum between research software engineers and researchers

Recognize software development by all

Ease flexible access at all time scales to Research Soft Engineers

Promote career paths for Research Software Enginee

Funding

Fund basic scientific software development and in parti Software Maintenance

Project based funding has its limit:

- Unpredictable
- Tension with career paths
- Huge overhead for the community

Promote recurrent funding